Introduction

Hydraulic disconnection by low permeability confining strata (i.e. aquitards) can limit potential impacts of dewatering and contamination migration. An ideal aquitard is a deep or thick material of low permeability, that is laterally continuous and without preferential flow paths (e.g. fracture networks).

The volume of groundwater flow during coal seam gas (CSG) production can have implications for safe and efficient extraction and for potential impacts on shallow aquifers, or nearby rivers, lakes and wetlands. For example, extraction of water for CSG production is required to reduce the hydraulic head at the coal seam sufficiently to enable gas desorption. The feasible design of water extraction systems to achieve this low hydraulic head depends on many factors including the permeability of the coal seam, and the degree of vertical disconnection or connectivity through the overburden (Timms et al. 2012).

The flow of groundwater through aquitards is typically very slow, but could be significant at the large scale over long periods of time if underlying aquifers remain depressurized as a result of CSG extraction (Timms 2012). It is also well known that vertical flow depends on the degree of saturation of the pore space, with partially saturated aquitards having much lower hydraulic conductivity ($K$) than when fully saturated (Neuzil 1986, 1994). $K$ is, therefore, an important factor for assessing whether or not vertical connectivity with overlying and underlying aquifers is significant.

Given the tight nature of aquitards, $K$ measurements are not practical and time-efficient using standard test methods (e.g. falling/constant head permeameters). Expedited determination of their hydraulic integrity may be determined relatively rapidly using geotechnical centrifuge technology. For example, accelerating intact core samples at 100 times gravity makes it possible to observe in 1 day of centrifuge testing, flow that would
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occur in 10,000 days (≈ 27 years) under in situ conditions.

This paper reports geotechnical centrifuge measurements of vertical hydraulic conductivity ($K_v$) for intact shale core, assumed to be saturated, under steady state flow conditions. The cores are from the Surat Basin, Queensland, where there is particular interest in $K_v$ determination as, at present, there is very little data available via indirect estimations from horizontal permeability assessments (Queensland Water Commission 2012). Further work and centrifuge instrumentation developments allowing for experimentation under partially saturated and transient flow is also mentioned.

Methods
A total of eight intact cores were tested at the National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training (NCGRT) geotechnical centrifuge facility located at the Water Research Laboratory (WRL), University of New South Wales (UNSW). Moisture content of cores was measured using methods adapted from AS 1289.2.1.1 (AS 2005), and $K_v$ was tested using a method adapted from ASTM D6527-2000 (ASTM 2000) using a centrifuge permeameter (Zornberg and McCartney 2010; Timms and Hendry 2008).

Geotechnical centrifuge system
The NCGRT geotechnical centrifuge is a Broadbent Modular Geotechnical Centrifuge (2 m diameter) with a new centrifuge permeameter (2 × 4.7 kg permeameter sample at 556 G-max). A 22 kW motor drives a variable speed of 10–875 RPM. The centrifuge permeameter (CP) module was designed specifically for groundwater research, and is a relatively large module that allows on-board instrumentation and real-time monitoring of a range of parameters. Since a maximum of G-level of 471 applies at the centre of the sample weight, the rating of the centrifuge permeameter is 2.2 G-ton (471 × 4.7/1000). The total weight of one permeameter when empty is 12.7 kg plus an allowance of 1.0 kg of effluent in the reservoir. A large cross-sectional flow area (100 mm diameter), low volume influent pumps and a custom made effluent suction extraction system have enabled routine testing of low permeability matrix.

Each permeameter assembly (fig. 1) is configured to maintain a constant head of influent above the sample. The influent pumping and monitoring systems are connected to a PC via a Fiber Optic Rotary Joint (FORJ) and controlled using LabVIEW software. Effluent flows from the porous sample through drainage plate and into the effluent reservoir. Effluent is extracted via a syringe or peristaltic pump through a ‘U’ shaped tube that connects to the base of the effluent reservoir. This system enables samples to be extracted without the need for the permeameters to be taken off the beam. An air vent maintains zero pressure outflow boundary.

Hydraulic conductivity calculations
Using Darcy’s Law ($v = -K \frac{dh}{dl}$), where $h$ is hydraulic head (i.e. the sum of the pressure head and elevation head) and $L$ is sample length (cm), the discharge velocity ($v$) during laminar flow of water through a porous media can be

Fig. 1 Cross section of the (a) centrifuge permeameter (CP) and beam showing new reservoir and reference points and (b) detail of core setup in new CP module.
calculated as a function of the gradient in hydraulic head, and a constant of proportionality referred to as hydraulic conductivity ($K_v$). $K_v$ calculations using the CP module are based on Equation 1 adapted from ASTM D6527 (2000), assuming that the hydraulic head gradient is negligible compared to the centrifuge force driving flow:

$$K_v = \frac{0.248Q}{A \times r_m \times (RPM)^2}$$

(1)

where $K_v$ is vertical hydraulic conductivity (m/s), $Q$ is the fluid flux imposed by the flow control system (mL/h), $A$ is the sample flow area (cm$^2$), RPM is revolutions per minute, and $r_m$ is radial distance at the mid-point of the core sample (cm). The centripetal acceleration, oriented outward in a radial direction and at a distance $r$ from the axis of rotation, equals $a$:

$$a = \omega^2 r = \frac{N}{g}$$

(2)

where $\omega$ is the angular velocity (rad/s), $r$ is the radius from the axis of rotation (m), $N$ is the ratio between centripetal acceleration and gravity, and $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity ($9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$). The angular velocity is related to RPM as follows:

$$\omega = 2\pi \times \frac{\text{RPM}}{60}$$

(3)

Substituting Equation 3 into Equation 2 and dividing by $g$ yields Equation 4 which can be used to determine the $N$ scale (or $G$-max) for a given RPM and radius:

$$N = 1.122 \times 10^{-3} \times (\text{RPM})^2 \times r$$

(4)

**Core preparation**

Rock cores from deep sedimentary basins were obtained using rotary mud drilling methods, using standard coring methods (65–80 mm diameter), with cores stored in open air trays. These cores were re-saturated with synthesized pore water (described below). Rock cores were set in the permeameter liners using resin (Megapoxy 240). The resin was selected due to ultra-low permeability, fast curing rate and strong adherence to acrylic. Potting rings (ID 90 mm and length 30 mm, hard anodized aluminum alloy AL6061), custom designed by UNSW, were used to ensure that the resin set sample precisely matched the top and base of the core. Flat core surfaces and uniform cross-sectional area were assumed in $K$ calculations. The UNSW potting rings were then fitted within the acrylic liner via double O-ring seals (fig. 1b). Rock cores were connected to the CP drainage plate via a 1 mm thick A14 Geofabrics Bidim geofabric filter (110 micron, and permeability of 33 m/s) laid on top of a Whatman 5 Qualitative filter paper.

As the majority of the core samples were received from a depth in excess of 500 m below the surface, the centrifuge testing conditions could not replicate in situ stress levels. To increase stress imposed on the samples during testing, a dense porous medium (saturated gravel) was packed on top of the cores with an influent head of 10–50 mm ponded on the sample (fig. 1b).

Two core samples were tested simultaneously at either end of the centrifuge beam, and balanced to the nearest 500 G. Effluent water passing through the core samples was collected manually from a reservoir below the cores, with measurements of head and effluent volume (to the nearest 0.01 g) recorded during brief centrifuge stops.

The CP was operated at various speeds range from 10–400 G (depending on the $K$ value of core – higher speeds being used for core with lower $K$). Testing typically commenced at 10 G and increased periodically until testing ceased. A typical increase in G-level over time is shown in Fig. 2. Each centrifuge run required approximately 2–4 days for each core specimen given the very low permeability nature.

**Influent preparation and core re-saturation**

Four influent waters were synthesized for $K_v$ testing to approximate the groundwater
chemistry at the depth of core collection. Dominant salts and carbonates were taken into account based on the water quality report for the respective drill sites supplied to WRL. Total ionic strength and major ion ratios (i.e. chloride, carbonate, sodium and potassium) were calculated for target solutions. Analytical grade reagents were prepared with Milli-Q water to target concentrations and the pH adjusted if necessary with concentrated sulphuric acid. Electrical conductivity (EC) and pH values of prepared solutions were measured with calibrated water quality probes as shown in Table 1.

Saturation of cores for $K$ testing was assumed by preservation of drill core and vacuum plate saturation, and verified by monitoring weight changes during testing, and moisture tests before and after testing. A custom vacuum plate device was designed by UNSW to fit the CP liners containing the cores, drawing ponded water influent from the top to the base of the cores. After 12–48 hours, or upon effluent flow from the base, the liners were then transferred directly to the CP module without disturbing the sample.

Results and Discussion

Hydraulic conductivity assessment

According to Table 2, $K_v$ ranged from $<10^{-12} - 4 \times 10^{-10}$ m/s ($n = 12$), compared with a resin value of $<10^{-12}$ m/s. It is important to note that half of the values ($n = 6$) were less than the current detection limit of the instrumentation (i.e. $<10^{-12}$ m/s). In addition, there were two unreliable values of $3 \times 10^{-8}$ and $10^{-5}$ m/s tested on cores from the Evergreen and Hutton formations, respectively, owing to leakage through micro-fractures and poor resin seals caused as a result of high G levels and defects in the drill core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Salts Added (g/L)</th>
<th>EC (µS/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>pH Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Westbourne</td>
<td>Na$_2$CO$_3$: 0.55; NaCl: 0.47</td>
<td>1,930</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$ added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Hutton</td>
<td>Na$_2$CO$_3$: 1.03; NaCl: 1.38; KCl: 0.90</td>
<td>6,720</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$ added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Walloon</td>
<td>Na$_2$CO$_3$: 1.10; NaCl: 1.76</td>
<td>4,870</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$ added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>Na$_2$CO$_3$: 0.51; NaCl: 1.99; KCl: 0.85</td>
<td>8,770</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$ added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant figure). Natural permeability variability, both within a core sample, and between core samples from adjacent depths are likely to be more variable than plausible laboratory measurement precision.

Based on current instrumentation capabilities, cores with \(K_v\) between \(10^{-9} - 10^{-6}\) m/s can be measured to a precision of half an order of magnitude, while measurements in the range of \(10^{-12} - 10^{-10}\) m/s are subject to greater uncertainty. Centrifuge runs where no flow was induced for a testing period of up to 70 hours a value of <10^{-12} m/s was reported in Table 2.

Quality assurance (QA) included cross-checking of core and permeability weights to monitor changes in moisture content, and cross-checking influent and effluent flow rates. An additional QA test confirmed that \(K\) of resin used to set cores in the permeameter liner was less than the detection limit (i.e. <10^{-12} m/s).

**Moisture content assessment and further work**

\(K\) is a function of moisture content, with \(K\) at saturation higher than for partially saturated cores (e.g. Jougnot et al. 2010). It must be noted that increasing moisture content before and after testing suggests that the apparent \(K_v\) values may not be representative of saturated rock. For instance, moisture content of core from 864 m depth (Walloon Formation) increased from 3.60 to 7.90 % before and after testing, respectively, even though a \(K_v\) of <10^{-12} m/s was measured.

Future work with very low permeability shale cores is currently underway to prevent moisture loss of cores, and further investigate the effect of moisture content, degree of saturation (\(\theta\)) and inter-particle capillary pressure (\(\psi\)) of partially saturated matrix. Very few stud-

### Table 2 Summary of apparent hydraulic conductivity \((K_v)\) and moisture content \((w)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Core Depth (m)</th>
<th>Apparent (K_v) (m/s)</th>
<th>(w) Received (m)</th>
<th>(w) Final (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QA test</td>
<td>Resin only</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbourne</td>
<td>152.65–152.90</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbourne</td>
<td>10^{-10}</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walloon</td>
<td>824.94–825.26</td>
<td>3&lt;10^{-10}</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walloon</td>
<td>824.94–825.26</td>
<td>4&lt;10^{-10}</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walloon</td>
<td>864.93–865.12</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutton</td>
<td>1055.93–1056.15</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutton</td>
<td>1111.86–1112.27</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Hutton</td>
<td>1111.86–1112.27</td>
<td>10^{-12}</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Evergreen</td>
<td>1128.36–1128.68</td>
<td>3&lt;10^{-11}</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>1128.36–1128.68</td>
<td>5&lt;10^{-11}</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>1153.34–1153.58</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>1153.34–1153.58</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>1184.25–1184.46</td>
<td>2&lt;10^{-10}</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>1184.25–1184.46</td>
<td>&lt;10^{-12}</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Unreliable values are given in italics.

![Fig. 3](image-url) (a) Hydraulic conductivity as a function of depth for rock core samples (Shale 2) compared to more permeable matrix (i.e. silica flour and clayey sediments) at steady state flow in the NCGRT centrifuge; (b) Summary of APLNG hydraulic conductivity results, m/day (\(K_v\) and \(K_h\), various laboratory/field techniques).
ies have attempted to determine the hydraulic properties of deep shale under unsaturated conditions as such measurements are extremely challenging. Instrumentation developments at the NCGRT geotechnical centrifuge, such as real-time moisture content measurement in high G environments, will enable \(K\) function investigations under transient infiltration and drainage of water under partially saturated core conditions. The extent to which vertical seepage is reduced by partially saturated conditions during CSG extraction may be a critical dynamic process that reduces potential effects on shallow aquifers.

**Conclusions**
The NCGRT geotechnical centrifuge enables \(K\) testing of low permeability geological material that would otherwise not be possible. Findings have so far highlighted the relatively low \(K_v\) of intact shale, consistent with larger permeability datasets typically reported for shales (e.g. Neuzil 1994) and at least 10–100 times lower than some alluvial clay aquitards. To date, there have been no published studies measuring \(K_v\) in deep shale of these formations. The \(K_v\) is also sensitive to moisture content and small fractures due to defects in drill core. Minimally disturbed core samples from depths greater than 500 m have been tested, although load restrictions in this centrifuge cannot match \textit{in situ} lithostatic stresses at such depths. Nevertheless, permeability values are indicating that \textit{in situ} stress for hard rocks may not be an important factor under high G environments. Instrumentation developments that are currently in progress will enable real-time monitoring of moisture content to enable transient flow under partially saturated core conditions deriving values of greater certainty for model inputs.
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